

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/594,225	Applicant(s) BERGLUND ET AL.	
	Examiner SCARLETT GOON	Art Unit 1623	

All Participants:

(1) SCARLETT GOON

(2) Mr. Daniel Morath

Date of Interview: 31 July 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
10-18

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: about 10:40 am

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Daniel Morath was contacted on 28 July 2008, at about 3:52 pm, to request a telephonic restriction for an election of species. On 31 July 2008, at about 10:40 am, Mr. Daniel Morath called to indicate that the Applicants elected (1) heptyl-D- α -mannopyranoside, wherein R0 = O and R1 = n-pentyl, (2) Escherichia coli, and (3) urinary tract infection. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.